Abstract

The Infosys BPM team used analytics to listen to and monitor a recent crisis involving our client – one of India’s largest public sector banks. During the crisis, the bank was negatively tagged in certain social media conversations leading to negative sentiments that could potentially impact our brand.

The team effectively monitored the crisis over two weeks and devised a scoring methodology that helped perform an impact analysis of the situation at the end of the monitoring period. This allowed us to respond effectively during the crisis and prevent negative impact to our brand.
The client

Our client, is one of the largest public sector banks in India. It uses our industry-leading digital banking solution suite, to address its core banking needs. Our solution addresses core banking, omnichannel banking, payments, treasury, origination, liquidity management, Islamic banking, wealth management, analytics, artificial intelligence, and blockchain requirements of our client to drive operational excellence.

Public crisis pulling down brand value

Recently, our client went through a crisis situation when they uncovered fraudulent activities within the bank. This led to growing negative sentiments about the bank in social media. This triggered a need for Infosys BPM to monitor the crisis closely on social media, so that we could come with an action plan to mitigate perceptions that could in turn pull down our brand value.

Prioritizing social media conversations – the starting point

As a part of crisis monitoring, the major challenge was to identify the criticality of the negative sentiment mentions, to be able to decide which conversation gets priority over the other (from a monitoring standpoint). This was difficult since the volume of conversations was very high during certain times of the day. The second challenge was to pick up all the conversations related to the brand and get the right keywords and hashtags (and variations of these). The processes for this were not yet established at that point in time.

The solution approach to successfully monitor the crisis

The team started by identifying and listening to the negative discussions on social media, then evaluating the impact of that post or tweet. The team would then be able to alert the right point of contact in our Marketing team to have a response or plan further engagement. The four stages of the engagement were as follows:

1. **Identify and listen:** The team started building a topic profile by identifying negative keywords and patterns as soon as the first tweet was posted. A topic profile is a set of keywords and demographic parameters (region, language, etc.) that is created based on what is to be monitored. This is required to extract relevant conversations from social media. For example, a tweet mentioned a certain executive of our client – which raised the flag to include this for crisis monitoring. The keywords and hashtags were picked from an initial online research on the conversations. The negative keyword and hashtags were collected and used to build the topic profile. Building the topic profile included activities such as identifying the negative keywords, hashtags and people who could possibly be linked to the news (viz., the executives and key management of our client). The topic profile was then set up in Social Studio, a market leading tool that was configured and used by the Infosys BPM Analytics team.

Identifying and Listening: Keyword Groups and Tropic Profiling key in getting the right conversations to be picked up.
2. **Evaluate the impact**: Having gathered the data, it was now crucial to evaluate the criticality of these social mentions. Influencers can have a high impact on the outcome of an issue, hence it is important for the team to identify if there are influencers in a conversation and who these are in a crisis situation.

To ensure an effective evaluation, the team designed a methodology to rank or score the impact of each of these mentions.

Based on the thresholds set for each of them, the mentions were ranked on a 3-point scale – High, Medium, or Low impact. If critical influencers were mentioned, then the post was flagged as “Critical” and sent without further scoring or filtering to our digital marketing lead, and marketing head.

The parameters selected were:

- Author profiling: who is the person that is speaking, what is their industry background, expertise, political background, etc.
- Influencer: if the post is tagged with a critical influencer, either internal or external
- Influencer score: the scoring of the author based on his / her engagement with the brand, or his / her influence in relevant industries along with a good audience reach
- Followers: the more the followers, the more the reach of the mention
- Negative sentiments: hashtags / keywords

3. **Inform and report**: We engaged in conversations based on the insights from our analysis. Our Marketing team was informed of the situation along with the impact scores through an hourly update report. This report included the social media post and the impact scale along with the author and engagement details. These equipped our marketing head to then appropriately manage the engagement in a timely manner.

**Crisis monitoring insights in action:**
Through analysis we uncovered that while 400+ mentions occurred during a 10-day period (and were scored), only 2% of these mentions were really high impact tweets that needed attention.

Of the total influencer population, only one met the influencer score criteria of 90 out of a possible 100. These insights helped us to design a precise approach than spend a lot of time going over all the conversations and people talking about the crisis.

Other insights extracted from the volume trends and hashtags used in the conversations were:

- Top #Hashtag Usage during the crisis, helps the business to take a call on engaging with the brand hashtags or to avoid further discussions if irrelevant. Mostly the #tag used was relevant to the Scam (15%) and the brand tag was comparatively lower (4%).
4. **Analyze and monitor:** The situation was monitored daily and a detailed analysis performed at the end of each day for two weeks. The Infosys BPM analytics team also set up a dashboard on the Social Studio tool for crisis monitoring, which now monitors for any negative discussions in connection with our brand.

**The overall business value**

**Impact assessment:** The social analysis insights provided during this time showed that the impact of these negative brand mentions with respect to the crisis was actually low. Certain high score and critical tweets found no social media engagement during the crisis. This information along with the author’s influencer score (<60) and followers’ details helped our marketing team plan an effective engagement plan.

**Continuous updates:** The listening and analysis ensured that our client had hourly updates on the situation at hand. Updates included sources such as blogs, aggregated news, Twitter and LinkedIn posts.

**How to respond to a crisis?** In a crisis situation, a wrong step would mean a huge impact, especially in the social media space as the information spreads fast. Experiences in the social world show that jumping into a social media crisis situation and engaging them at the initial state without a proper understanding of the overall situation could lead to worsening of the situation – as in a well-known 2013 data breach case. During a social media crisis, in general, it is recommended to take an informed decision on the response through active social media monitoring and analysis.

Through constant monitoring, we made a decision to stay away from engaging in these conversations. This was a good move since the analysis showed that during the initial days of the news breaking out, the conversations with the relevant crisis related hashtags ranged from 4%-10%. Daily monitoring showed that this came down to less than 1% in the next few days.

**Crisis monitoring:** A dashboard was set up to detect any negative brand mentions in the future. This dashboard was built based on the framework developed for negative pattern identification, being able to label the tweets, and fine-tune the sentiment to arrive at a more accurate sentiment analysis.