WHITE PAPER

Procuring Infrastructure-as-a-Service



Abstract

The adoption of 'as-a-service' cloud computing is gathering momentum in both private and public sectors around the globe. The as-a-service model allows the consumer to move away from asset ownership and capital investment upfront (Capex) to pay-as-you-consume operational expenditure (Opex). Other benefits include and are not limited to: the ability to scale up and down services with demand real time; self-service provisioning; standardised costs and service levels providing greater transparency and certainty; no need to maintain, support and refresh hardware; ubiquitous network access for example via smartphones, tablets, desktops, laptops, etc., and support for disaster recovery, business continuity and high availability enablement in a multitenant environment.

One of the foundations for as-a-service cloud computing is the Infrastructure. We define Infrastructure as a service (IaaS) as a standardised, automated service, where compute, network and storage resources are owned and hosted by a vendor and offered to customers on-demand. Customers are able to self-provision these services, using a web-based graphical user interface that serves as an IT operations management console for the overall environment. Application Program Interface access (a set of routines, protocols, and tools for building software applications, etc.) access may also be offered as an option.



WHERE IN

Background

During a recent sourcing initiative for laaS with a large client, whole of state government, Infosys Portland developed tender documents and bid-sheets in consultation with the client's internal Subject Matter Experts (SMEs).

The tender documents that were developed in line with the specification

requirements comprised of both mandatory and desirable requirements. Responses to mandatory requirements were verified through a pass/ fail demonstration test, and only those respondents that passed the demonstration test were progressed further in the evaluation process. In this White Paper, we will outline the importance of having a well-defined 'Spec'; including mandatory requirements and validation tests, and also look at desirable requirements that can be captured in the tender process.

Defining the 'spec' - IAAS deployment model

Defining the specification requirements is crucial as laaS can be deployed in various ways, each of which could result in a different tender document and awarded supplier. At a high level, the main deployment models for laaS fall into: Private, Community, Public and Hybrid cloud. The definitions of each, as per the National Institute of Standards and Technology¹, are below:



The deployment method that is required by the purchaser will help shape the mandatory requirements that allow suppliers to self-deselect and result in a more efficient tender evaluation process.

Mandatory requirements and testing

Once the laaS deployment model is confirmed, the bidsheets will need to be developed according to the model of laaS that is required. Setting mandatory requirements allow laaS offerors that do not offer the specific deployment model being sought to self-deselect from the tender process. The mandatory criteria should be scoped in consultation with the client and will provide another opportunity to ensure the spec is correctly defined and fit for purpose. For each deployment model there are common characteristics which would be included as mandatory requirements in the tender document, as detailed below:

On-demand self-service	A consumer can unilaterally provision computing capabilities, such as server time and network storage, as needed automatically without requiring human interaction with each service offeror
Broad network access	Capabilities are available over the network and accessed through standard mechanisms that promote use by heterogeneous thin or thick client platforms (e.g. mobile phones, tablets, laptops, and workstations)
Resource pooling	The provider's computing resources are pooled to serve multiple consumers using a multi-tenant model, with different physical and virtual resources dynamically assigned and reassigned according to consumer demand. There is a sense of location independence in that the customer generally has no control or knowledge over the exact location of the provided resources but may be able to specify location at a higher level of abstraction (e.g. country, state, or data centre). Examples of resources include storage, processing, memory, and network bandwidth
Rapid elasticity	Capabilities can be elastically provisioned and released, in some cases automatically, to scale rapidly outward and inward commensurate with demand. To the consumer, the capabilities available for provisioning often appear to be unlimited and can be appropriated in any quantity at any time
Measured service	Cloud systems automatically control and optimise resource use by leveraging a metering capability at some level of abstraction appropriate to the type of service (e.g. storage, processing, bandwidth, and active user accounts). Resource usage can be monitored, controlled, and reported on

In addition to the above generic requirements for IaaS, more specific mandatory requirements can be included for the desired deployment model. Please refer to the case study below as an example of additional mandatory requirements that could be added to tender documents, specific to Public cloud.

Because the laaS market is relatively immature and the supply market landscape is constantly evolving, it is important to verify all supplier responses to mandatory requirements. In our experience, we found that some suppliers were so eager to increase market share and establish themselves as laaS market leaders that many responded "comply" with mandatory requirements and then provided an ambiguous caveat in a full response field. One effective way to verify responses to mandatory requirements is to have suppliers sit a demonstration test which will prove or disprove their ability to meet the scoped mandatory requirements. We found the demonstration test to be a crucial component of the tender evaluation process which fleshed out those suppliers who optimistically answered 'comply' to mandatory requirements, but in reality their technical capabilities were not yet up to speed and therefore those suppliers were not progressed further in the evaluation process.



Case study - Public cloud

During the recent sourcing initiative, the characteristics of Public cloud, additional to the generic characteristics of laaS, were converted into mandatory requirements in the tender document to ensure only offerors capable of delivering laaS in a public cloud would respond and be progressed in the tender process. Examples of additional mandatory requirements that were used to filter for only quality offerors of Public cloud are scoped below:

Additional requirement

The offeror must be able to supply services with no-minimum financial commitment or usage commitment for no fixed duration of service

Additional requirement

The services scoped in these mandatory requirements must be pre-existing services available to all customers

In line with the characteristics of Public Cloud, the above mandatory requirements remove the bespoke solution providers from responding to the tender. The Public cloud model is really a commoditised service and therefore no minimum commitment should be required as customers can opt in and opt out at any time, with no material effect on the supplier. A number of IaaS providers will build an ecosystem for a specific project or client and this will come at a significant upfront cost, which is not the type of supplier being sought for Public cloud.

In the recent sourcing initiative we tested all respondents' ability to provision services

as scoped in the mandatory requirements. This was an interesting exercise that saw a number of offerors be eliminated from further evaluation as they were unable to demonstrate the provisioning of services that they had indicated they could provide in their tender response.

Desirable requirements

Further to the deployment model choice, the client may have preferences around datacentre location, security protocols and other technical capabilities. While these may not be mandatory requirements, more extensive details around service offerings can be captured in other desirable requirements, which may fall into the following categories:



Defining the 'spec' - IAAS deployment model

1. Availability

Refers to the level of uptime of services and can involve asking what the target level is (except during standard maintenance windows), the ability to withstand disruptions and if they have a history of non-scheduled service downtime

2. Compliance

Compliance to industry and global standards, predominantly around the Data Centres. International standard ISO27001 could be made a mandatory requirement. Request copy of certificates to verify compliance

3. Configuration

Around customer remote management access and strong multi-factor authentication for management portal access

4. Contract and Control

Questions around business continuity plan and disaster recovery plans

5. Technical

All technical capability questions should be included in this category. A few examples include: does the offeror provide colocation, save images, automate recovery of Virtual Machines, support network load balancing, etc.

6. Innovation

Request information on product and service offering roadmaps, and any creative approaches to delivery of IaaS to clients

7. Security

This is going to be a very important category for most purchasers of laaS. Security is mainly around protection and access to data, i.e. is data encrypted in transit/at rest, standard practice around management of encryption keys, location of data centres (country, city etc.), where will data be stored, segregation of duties, comprehensive access log/ firewall log, pen testing, supply chain process, third party contractors and access to data, deletion of client data post termination of contract

8. Service Management

Help desk support, incident report log process, monitoring systems and dashboards, reporting frequency and accuracy, end-user training, system upgrade informing and approval process

9. Service Provisioning

Time it takes to provision new services, support of provisioning and deprovisioning of services via online self-service portal, are services available on demand.

10. Sustainability

Request details of published sustainability policy

11. Transitioning

Requirements for commencement and closing down of services, disconnect process requirements, processes that are in place for on boarding and off boarding a customer's account

Conclusion

laaS can be delivered via four different deployment models and it is important that the purchaser knows which model is fit for their purpose. Including mandatory requirements in the tender document will allow many offerors to self-deselect from the tender process thus avoiding costly bid response when they cannot meet minimum client selection criteria. Through a demonstration test the purchaser can validate the responses to mandatory requirements. Other desirable requirements can be captured and evaluated by the purchaser on various categories related to laaS solutions and delivery. Beyond these starting points, the purchaser also needs to consider the quantitative (pricing and discounts) and Service Level Agreements. When all of these elements are captured in the tender process the purchaser will be well set up for a successful procurement of laaS solutions.

About the Author



Sophie Jarvis ANZ ICT Practice Lead - Infosys Portland

Sophie has over 15 years' procurement services experience in industry and a further 6 years in Consultancy Advisory. Sophie is a highly regarded procurement professional, an expert in sourcing, category management and supplier relationship management across all ICT and business services categories across a range of client industries.

About Infosys Portland

Infosys Portland is a subsidiary of Infosys BPO Ltd., a part of Infosys Ltd. Its mission is to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of its clients' procurement and supply chain activities. We achieve this by providing thought leadership, specialist subject matter expertise, a global delivery model, and best-in-class technology solutions. By increasing the value delivered and improving the efficiency of procurement and supply chain operations, our clients are able to achieve more for less.



www.infosysportland.com

For more information, contact info@infosysportland.com

© 2016 Portland Group Pty Ltd. All Rights Reserved. Portland Group, a subsidiary of Infosys BPO, believes the information in this document is accurate as of its publication date; such information is subject to change without notice. Portland Group acknowledges the proprietary rights of other companies to the trademarks, product names and such other intellectual property rights mentioned in this document. Except as expressly permitted, neither this documentation or any part of it may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, printing, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of Portland Group Pty Ltd. and / or any named intellectual property rights holders under this document.